

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/749,997 12/27/2000		Roy Kenneth Chrisop	SLA.0290	6874
7	590 08/18/2004		EXAMI	NER
Robert D. Va	ritz		DIVINE, I	LUCAS
	ROBERT D. VARITZ, P.C.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
380 Harrison Square 1800 S.W. First Avenue				TAI ER NOMBER
Portland, OR			2624	\1
Tottland, OK	<i>712</i> 01		DATE MAILED: 08/18/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED

SEP 0 1 2004

Technology Center 2600

Application No. Applicant(s)						
	09/749,997	CHRISOP ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lucas J Divine	2624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 D	ecember 2000.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	•					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1 and 7 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)	∧ □	(DTO 140)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 1 and 7 are objected to because of the following informalities: the method claims do not include appropriate step language. The claimed method would be more complete if the word 'comprising' was replaced with 'comprising the steps of'. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lobiondo (US 5287194).

Regarding claim 1, Lobiondo teaches a network of connected output devices (Fig. 1, col. 3 line 5); entering a print job at a first network device (col. 3 lines 37-40 and col. 6 lines 26-27, wherein a job is input to a first network output device can be a print server, printer, or other output device with memory and processing unit); querying other devices to determine if their capable based on device characteristics and pending print jobs (col. 2 lines 51-54 and col. 4 lines 46-52 and col. 5 lines 51-54, wherein jobs are scheduled to available and capable

printers); transmitting print jobs to each capable output device (Fig. 4 ref. no. 450, col. 2 lines 58-62 and col. 5 lines 54 and 55, wherein jobs are transmitted to each location); reporting the completion of print job copies by the output device (col. 5 line 3 and col. 7 line 2, wherein the reporting of the availability status includes whether or not the output device has completed its current job); and determining the number of copies to be printed by each device (col. 3 lines 48-50 and col. 4 lines 54-68, wherein number of copies and scheduling is determined for a plurality of printers).

Regarding claim 2, which depends from claim 1, Lobiondo further teaches waiting for all the output devices reporting (col. 4 line 51, wherein actions are taken after reports come back from the printing devices) to optimize the number of copies printed by each output device (col. 3 lines 48-50 and col. 4 lines 54-68, wherein efficient scheduling is cited for the allocations of print jobs portions).

Regarding claim 3, which depends from claim 1, Lobiondo further teaches optimizing the number of copies to be printed after a predetermined time wherein the number of copies is allocated only among the first device and those that reported (col. 3 lines 48-50 and col. 4 line 35-36, wherein if the user selects a maximum time for the job, the scheduler would not wait longer than a set time to determine availability of devices and would allocate jobs efficiently based on the ones that did report as available).

Regarding claim 4, which depends from claim 1, Lobiondo further teaches the number of copies exceeding a certain number to distribute the printing (col. 4 line 17, wherein there is a specific number according to each system that would determine the job to be large); initiating print on itself and other output devices that have reported completion of their first copy

(col. 5 lines 3-4); and the final determining of number of copies which each output device is to print after all of the other output devices have reported (col. 3 lines 48-50 and col. 4 lines 51 and 56 and col. 5 lines 51-54, wherein the final allocation of jobs is completed once all locations have been checked for availability).

Regarding claim 5, which depends from claim 1, Lobiondo further teaches that the entering of print jobs includes loading and storing the job on a network output device (col. 3 lines 37-41, wherein print jobs are stored in a print server device which could be located on any output device with a processing unit and a storage unit).

Regarding claim 6, which depends from claim 1, Lobiondo further teaches said determining can be performed by a first network output device (col. 3 lines 37-46, wherein the print scheduler functions can all be located on any network device with processing unit and storage means).

Regarding claim 7, claim 7 includes the same limitations as claims 1, 5, and 6. Lobiondo teaches all of the limitations of claims 1, 5, and 6 as rejected above. Claim 7 is therefore rejected for the reasons stated in the rejections of claims 1, 5, and 6.

Regarding claim 8, which depends from claim 7, claim 8 includes the same limitations as claims 1, 2, 5, and 6 as included in independent claim 7. Lobiondo teaches all of the limitations of claims 1, 2, 5, and 6. Claim 8 is therefore rejected for the reasons stated in the rejections of claims 1, 2, 5, and 6.

Regarding claim 9, which depends from claim 7, claim 9 includes the same limitations as claims 1, 3, 5, and 6 as included in independent claim 7. Lobiondo teaches all of the limitations

of claims 1, 3, 5, and 6. Claim 9 is therefore rejected based on the rejections of claims 1, 3, 5, and 6.

Regarding claim 10, which depends from claim 7, claim 10 includes the same limitations as claims 1, 4, 5, and 6 as included in independent claim 7. Lobiondo teaches all of the limitations of claims 1, 4, 5, and 6. Claim 10 is therefore rejected based on the rejections of claims 1, 4, 5, and 6.

Conclusion

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Please give special consideration to the review of these patent documents.
 - US-6,687,018 Leong et al. 2-3-2004: teaches a system and method for distributing print jobs, including: entry of print jobs, determining capability according to job characteristics and pending jobs, maximum wait times for jobs, transmission of print jobs, and reporting of completed jobs;
 - US-6,654136 Shimada 11-25-2003: teaches allowing a plurality of printers to print a document including determining copies to be printed by each printer;
 - US-6,498,656 Mastie et al. 12-24-2002: teaches a rule based determining of capable printers for print jobs;
 - US-6,466,326 Shima 10-15-2002: teaches a printer and printing method including reporting of completed jobs;
 - US-6,348,971 Owa et al. 2-19-2002: teaches a system and method for selecting optimum printing of jobs including: entry of print jobs, determining capability according to job

Application/Control Number: 09/749,997

Art Unit: 2624

characteristics and pending print jobs at output devices, transmitting jobs to capable

Page 6

printers, reporting of printer status including completed jobs, and determining

optimum printers for portions of print jobs;

US-5,995,721 Rourke et al. 11-30-1999: teaches a distributed printing system including

printing portions of print jobs on a plurality of printers; and

US-5,574,831 Grenda 11-12-1996: teaches using an array of printing devices to print

large jobs at a higher speed.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Lucas J Divine whose telephone number is 703-306-3440. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Moore can be reached on 703-308-7452. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lucas J Divine Examiner Art Unit 2624

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

lid

Jucas Durre

Notice of References Cited Application/Control No. 09/749,997 Examiner Lucas J Divine Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination CHRISOP ET AL. Page 1 of 1

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
	Α	US-6,687,018	02-2004	Leong et al.	358/1.15
	В	US-6,654,136	11-2003	Shimada, Naoki	358/1.15
	С	US-6,498,656	12-2002	Mastie et al.	358/1.15
	D	US-6,466,326	10-2002	Shima, Toshihiro	358/1.12
	Ε	US-6,348,971	02-2002	Owa et al.	358/1.15
	F	US-5,995,721	11-1999	Rourke et al.	358/1.15
	G	US-5,574,831	11-1996	Grenda, Robert	358/1.4
	Н	US-			
	ı	US-			
	J	US-			
	К	US-			
	L	US-			
	М	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	0					
	Р					
	α					
	R					
	s					
	Т					

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	U	
	v	
	w	
	х	

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

		<u> ŧ. </u>						
1449A/PTO Rev 10/5		U.S. Department of Commerce Patent and Trademark Office		Complete If Known				
		. stem and Hadelight Office		Application Number	09/749997			
LIST OF PRIOR ART CITED BY APPLICANT			RT CITED	Filing Date	December 27, 2000	2 =		
				First Named Inventor	Roy Kenneth Chrisop	a.6		
		. 2.07		Group Art Unit	2624	149.8		
(use as many sheets as necessary)			necessary)	Examiner Name	Lucas Divine	4/60		
Sheet	1	of	1	Attorney Docket No.	SLA.0290	D E		

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS								
Examine Cite r Initials No.1		U.S. Patent Document Kind Number Code ² (if known)	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Date of Publication of Cited Document MM-DD-YY	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Figures Appear			
LJD 4,125,874		Higashide et al.	11-14-78					
LJD		5,179,673	Steely, Jr. et al.	01-12-93				
LJD		5,287,194	Lobiondo	02-15-94				
LJD		5,467,434	Hower, Jr. et al.	11-14-95				
LJD		5,596,416	Barry et al.	01-21-97				
LJD		5,699,102	Ng et al.	12-16-97				
LJO		5,784,077	Silverbrook	07-21-98				
LID		5,859,711	Barry et al.	01-12-99				
LJD		5,940,186	Barry et al.	08-17-99				
UD		5,978,560	Tan et al.	11-02-99				

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS							
Examiner Cite Foreign Patent Doument No.1		Name of Patentee or Applicant	Date of Publication of Cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant	T _€		
		Office ³ Number ⁴ Kind Code ⁵	of Cited Document	MM-DD-YY	Passages or Figures Appear		

OTHER PRIOR ART NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS						
Examiner Initials	Cite No.¹	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, country where published, source.	T²			
		·				

Examiner Signature	Lucas	Durré	Date Considered	7/27/2004	
vaminer: Initial if	ratatanas sanaidares		1. 1		

Examiner: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

'Unique citation designation number. 'See attached Kinds of U.S. Patent Documents. 'Enter Office that issued the document, by the two letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). 'For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. 'Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST.16 if possible. 'Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached

APPLICANT Roy K. Chrisop, Thomas Daniel Davis Jr., and Gary Lin Gaebel FILING DATE: December 27, 2000 U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS LYA TO BE CELLY FD. WAY 0 6 2003 FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS CLASS SUB FILE DATE FAPPROP. MAY 0 6 2003 Technology Center 2600 FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS CLASS SUB TRANSLATION CLASS SUB TRANSLATION CLASS SUB TRANSLATION CLASS TYPE NO CLASS TYPE	FORM PTO-1449			DOCKET NUMBER APPLICATION NUMBER 09/749,997				
U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS EXAMINER DOCUMENT NUMBER PRECEIVED MAY 0.6.2003 Technology Center 2600 FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS TO JP11-1212401 Japan DOCUMENT NUMBER L70 JP2001-117735 Japan Abstract Abstract	CIT		İ	Roy K. Chrisop, Thomas Daniel Davis Jr., and				
EXAMINER INITIAL DOCUMENT DATE NAME CLASS SUB FILE DATE INITIAL NUMBER PROP. RECEIVED MAY 0 6 2 D03 Technology Center 2600 FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS DOCUMENT NUMBER DATE COUNTRY/NAME CLASS SUB TRANSLATION YES NO L79 JP11-1212401 Japan Abstract L70 JP2001-117735 Japan Abstract	3. 5	OFFICE OFFICE						JNIT
NITIAL NUMBER CLASS IF APPROP.	Ta HO		U.S. P	'ATENT D	OCUMENTS			
FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS DOCUMENT NUMBER			DATE		NAME	CLASS		
FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS DOCUMENT NUMBER DATE COUNTRY/NAME CLASS SUB TRANSLATION YES NO						ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	<u> </u>	
DOCUMENT NUMBER DATE COUNTRY/NAME CLASS SUB TRANSLATION YES NO LDD JP11-1212401 Japan Abstract LJD JP2001-117735 Japan Abstract						.1		
DOCUMENT NUMBER DATE COUNTRY/NAME CLASS SUB TRANSLATION YES NO LDD JP11-1212401 Japan Abstract LJD JP2001-117735 Japan Abstract					Total			
NUMBER			FOREIG	N PATEN	T DOCUMENTS ´	_!	<u></u>	
LJD JP2001-117735 Japan Abstract		NUMBER	DATE		COUNTRY/NAME	CLASS		
OTHER DOCUMENTS								
OTHER DOCUMENTS								
			01	THER DO	CUMENTS			
								-
EXAMINER DATE CONSIDERED 8/9/2004	EXAMINER							